REMARKS

The Office Action of May 26, 2010 has been carefully considered.

The Office Action states that the provisional election of species has been given effect, and that claims not reading on the elected species have been withdrawn. However, claims 40-49 and 53-55 have been rejected, and claims 50-52 and 56-58 have been objected to as depending from a rejected base claim. All claims in the application have therefore been examined, and no claims have been withdrawn from consideration. In accordance with this examination, all claims previously designated as being "withdrawn" are not designated as being "previously presented."

Claims 40, 41, 43-49, and 53-55 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(e) over Adje et al. The Office Action takes the position that the compound of Example 9 anticipates the claimed invention.

The compound of Example 9 is 4-[N-(1H-imidazol-1-yl)-N-(3-fluoro-4-methoxyphenylmethyl)amino]benzonitrile hydrochloride. This compound has the structural formula:

Comparing the compound of Adje et al with claimed Formula (I),

$$R_1 = R_2 = R_4 = H$$

$$R_3 = CN$$

 $Q = CH_2$

One of R_8 and R_9 = OCH₃ (4-position)

The other of R_8 and $R_9 = F$ (3-position)

According to claim 40, both F and OCH₃ are possible substituents for the R_8 and R_9 positions. However, because Q = CH_2 , the proviso applies that "when Q is $(CH_2)_n$, n is 0, 1 or 2, one of R_3 and R_8 is hydroxy, nitro, $NR_{10}R_{11}$, $OSO_2NR_{10}R_{11}$, $NR_{12}SO_2NR_{10}R_{11}$, or $OSO_2NR_{10}SO_2NR_{11}R_{12}$ group."

Because R_3 = CN, it must be R_8 that is hydroxy, nitro, $NR_{10}R_{11}$, $OSO_2NR_{10}R_{11}$, $NR_{12}SO_2NR_{10}R_{11}$, or $OSO_2NR_{10}SO_2NR_{11}R_{12}$ group. R_8 cannot be either F or OCH₃. While R_9 could be either F or OCH₃, both F and OCH₃ cannot be present together, in the manner shown in Example 9 of Adje et al, due to the proviso.

Therefore, Example 9 of Adje et al cannot anticipate the claimed invention, and withdrawal of this rejection is requested.

Claims 42 and 45 have been rejected under 35 USC 112, $2^{\rm nd}$ paragraph.

Claim 42 has been amended to depend from claim 40.

Claim 45 has been amended to remove to substituent " SO_2 " from the definition of "Q."

Withdrawal of this rejection is requested.

Several typographical corrections have been made in claim 40, including correction of the spelling of "or" and "proviso."

Claims 50-52 and 56-58 have been found to be allowable over the art.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants submit that the present application is now in condition for allowance. An early allowance of the application with amended claims is earnestly solicited.



Respectfully submitted,

Ira J. Schultz

Registration No. 28666 Attorney for Applicants (703)837-9600, ext. 23

Dennison, Schultz & MacDonald 1727 King Street, Suite 105 Alexandria, VA 22314